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Introduction

Hepatocytes are the predominant cell type in the liver, constituting over 80% of the liver mass under nonpathological conditions (Fawcett 1994). In the adult liver most hepatocytes are quiescent and few cells divide. Nonetheless, the liver retains the capacity for complete and rapid renewal in response to cell loss. Studies on liver regeneration in rodents have provided some clues to the proliferative capacity of the hepatocyte. We can practically see the dramatic proliferation of the cells in vivo after a two-thirds surgical resection of the liver. Once two-thirds of the rat liver is surgically resected, the remnant cells immediately start to proliferate and the original volume of the liver is restored within a week (Higgins & Anderson 1931). To restore the original liver mass, the average hepatocyte theoretically divides about 1.7 times (Fabrikant 1969; Stöcker & Heine 1971). However, the replicative potential of the hepatocyte is not limited to this small number of cell divisions. Recent advances in molecular technology make it possible to use several experimental models for analyzing liver regeneration and there is increasing evidence that hepatocytes possess tremendous (perhaps unlimited) replication potential (Overturf et al. 1996; 1997; Rhim et al. 1994; Sandgren et al. 1991). In spite of their prolific growth ability in vivo, it takes a long time to establish experimental conditions in which hepatocytes can continue to grow in vitro. Richman et al. (1976) first showed that epidermal growth factor (EGF) could induce DNA synthesis in primary hepatocytes. Thereafter, many researchers have tried to find the essential factors which can trigger hepatic regeneration. Until now, many factors have been reported to induce and/or enhance the replicative DNA synthesis of hepatocytes in vivo and in vitro. Michalopoulos (1990) categorized those factors involved in liver growth as “complete mitogens” and “comitogens.” Following his classification system, the factors are summarized in Table 1. Much effort has been devoted to the resolution of the mechanisms by which the mitogens can transduce growth signals to hepatocytes; molecular and biochemical features of the mitogens have been investigated by many laboratories, and their roles in liver regeneration have been clarified. Many excellent reviews are now available (reviews see Fausto et al. 1995; Kay & Fausto 1997; Koch et al. 1990; Michalopoulos1990; Michalopoulos & DeFrances 1997). Thus, in this review I will mainly focus on the growth capacity of hepatocytes and the culture conditions.

Even if these complete mitogens combined with various co-mitogens possess a strong capability to induce DNA synthesis, only about half of rat hepatocytes in primary culture go through one cell cycle of division (McGowan 1986). In spite of the fact that numerous works concerning the proliferation of primary rat hepatocytes have been published, most reported findings of increased DNA synthesis. Only few papers showed that primary hepatocytes really increased the cell number. Until the 1980s, differentiated hepatocytes, the cells that are isolated from normal adult livers, could be maintained in primary culture for short periods of time, but generally these cells exhibited limited propagability and lifespan in culture (review see McGowan 1986; Strain 1994). In general, replicating cultures of hepatocytes tended to lose most of the characteristics associated with differentiation, and cultured hepatocytes that maintained differentiated qualities tended to display very limited replication. Therefore, most researchers believed that differentiated hepatocytes could not proliferate in culture dishes.

Since the late 1980s I have been working to establish a culture system in which hepatocytes possessing differentiated characteristics can proliferate for a long time. In this review I introduce recent advances in primary culture, especially of rat hepatocytes.
Table 1 Hepatic growth factors in primary rat hepatocytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitogens</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete Hepatocyte Mitogens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF)</td>
<td>Richman et al.</td>
<td>1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transforming Growth Factor-α (TGF-α)</td>
<td>Mead &amp; Fansto</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin-Binding EGF-like Growth Factor (HB-EGF)</td>
<td>Brenner et al.</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocyte Growth Factors (HGF)</td>
<td>Ito et al.</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michalopoulos et al.</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura et al.</td>
<td>1984b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gobda et al.</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kom et al.</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houch et al.</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acidic Fibroblast Growth Factor (aPGF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comitogenic Growth Factors:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Richman et al.</td>
<td>1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>McGowan et al.</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclic-AMP</td>
<td>McGowan et al.</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I and II</td>
<td>Koch et al.</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norepinephrine</td>
<td>Cruise et al.</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasopressin</td>
<td>Russell &amp; Bucher</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin II and III</td>
<td>Houck &amp; Michalopoulos</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP)</td>
<td>Kar et al.</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurotensin</td>
<td>Hasegawa et al.</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin F's</td>
<td>Skouteris et al.</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogens</td>
<td>Shi &amp; Yager</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNF)</td>
<td>Sato &amp; Yamazaki</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic stimulatory substance (HSS)</td>
<td>Labrecque &amp; Posch</td>
<td>1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Francavilla et al.</td>
<td>1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fleig &amp; Hoss</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sasaki et al.</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanolamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Multiplication of hepatocytes in vitro**

Recently, we and other investigators have made significant advances toward the development of culture conditions that promote proliferation of primary hepatocytes isolated from normal adult rats (Block et al. 1996; Cable and Isom 1997; Inoue et al. 1989; Mitaka et al. 1991a; 1991b; Tateno and Yoshizato 1996a). To my knowledge, there are two major methods for the multiplication of hepatocytes in vitro: one is the use of nicotinamide, the other is the use of a nutrient-rich medium. In this section the materials that can modulate the DNA synthesis of hepatocytes in medium are introduced and the mechanisms of their promoting effects are discussed.

**Use of nicotinamide**

Inoue et al. (1989) first showed that the number of hepatic nuclei, even at 16 days after plating, increased more than 2-fold compared to that of the nuclei at day 1 in William’s Medium E supplemented with 5% calf serum, 10 mM nicotinamide, and 10 ng/ml EGF. The cultured hepatocytes maintained a high level of albumin mRNA expression and had the potential to express tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase (TO) mRNA, which is thought to be a differentiated function of mature hepatocytes, responding to hormonal induction for more than 2 weeks. Thereafter, we reported that primary rat hepatocytes cultured in a serum-free chemically defined medium could repeatedly replicate their DNAs and that more than 20% of parenchymal cells went through a second round of cell division by day 4 (Mitaka et al. 1991a). This was the first report to prove that many hepatocytes maintaining hepatic differentiated functions and structures can complete the cell cycle more than two times. Thereafter, other investigators used nicotinamide in the medium to improve the survival of hepatocytes (Block
et al. 1996; Tateno & Yoshizato 1996a; Wu et al. 1994). Although they use different media with various additives, 5 to 10 mM nicotinamide is essential to maintain the capability of cell growth. For the proliferation of hepatocytes, 10 mM nicotinamide is the most effective concentration (Inoue et al. 1989; Mitaka et al. 1991a) and this concentration is known to almost completely inhibit poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) activity (Uchigata et al. 1982). Nicotinamide, which is classified as an aqueous vitamin for animals, is converted in the cell to NAD and NADP, both of which are abundant cellular coenzymes necessary for a number of biochemical reactions. Consequently, it is reasonable to assume that decreases in the levels of intracellular NAD and NADP may influence many functions of hepatocytes. In primary culture it is known that hepatocytes rapidly lose their intracellular NAD with time in culture (Paine et al. 1979). This reduction of NAD in the cells can be prevented by nicotinamide supplementation (Inoue et al. 1989; Paine et al. 1979). On the other hand, nicotinamide is a known inhibitor of PARP, an enzyme that catalyzes polymerization of the ADP-ribosyl moiety of NAD (Ueda & Hayaishi 1985). Althaus et al. (1982) reported that the activity of PARP sharply increased after isolated hepatocytes were plated onto plastic dishes, probably as an adaptive change to the in vitro environment. Moreover, they showed that inhibitors of PARP, including nicotinamide, could enhance the DNA repair synthesis of cultured hepatocytes elicited by chemical carcinogens (Althaus et al. 1980; 1982). Inhibitors of PARP are also known to suppress the DNA synthesis of HeLa cells by blocking the G2 phase of the cell cycle (Kidwell et al. 1982). In contrast, rapidly proliferating cells exhibit relatively higher activity of poly (ADP-ribosylation) than quiescent cells, as seen in the precancerous state of the hamster liver (Romaschin et al. 1981) and SV-40-transformed cells (Miwa et al. 1977). On the other hand, a decrease in poly (ADP-ribosylation) during differentiation has been observed in many other types of cells (Kanai et al. 1982; Morioka et al. 1979; Ohashi et al. 1984; Porteous et al. 1979). The addition of nicotinamide to cultured hepatocytes has been reported to inhibit the loss of cytochrome p450 activity (Paine et al. 1979) and mRNA expression of albumin and TO (Inoue et al. 1989). Taking these results into consideration, maintenance of the NAD level in cultured hepatocytes may be more important for enhancing the cyclic replication of DNA in cultured hepatocytes than for maintaining the differentiated functions. The inhibitory effect of nicotinamide on PARP may play a separate role, namely that of increasing the survival of cells and the maintenance of hepatocyte-specific functions. Thus, both effects of nicotinamide may be necessary for hepatic growth and differentiation.

Use of nutrient-rich medium

Recently, medium compositions have been reexamined for the purpose of improving the growth of hepatocytes with highly differentiated functions. Major attention has been given to components such as amino acids, vitamins, trace metals, bicarbonate and others.

1) Amino acids

Amino acids may be particularly important as liver cell nutrients because they can serve as protein precursors, as an energy substrate (Seglen & Solheim 1978), and as natural inhibitors of hepatic protein degradation (Schworer & Mortimore 1979). Seglen et al. (1983) reported that the inclusion of higher concentrations of amino acids and insulin resulted in stimulation of protein synthesis as well as inhibition of protein degradation. By using their medium supplemented with insulin and glucocorticoid, they could maintain hepatocytes in a protein-free medium without any detectable protein loss for a week. However, the cells could not proliferate in their medium. In the intact rat, interestingly, a protein-free diet or protein deprivation for 3 days followed by an amino acid meal stimulates DNA synthesis of the liver (Bucher et al. 1978; Short et al. 1974). This indicates that amino acids can be a trigger of hepatic DNA synthesis in the starved rat.

The original formulation of Leibovitz 15 (L-15) was shown to be a medium designed
for use in a nonbicarbonate buffer system and the medium is ideal for the growth and maintenance of Hep-2 and stable monkey kidney cells in a diagnostic virus laboratory (Leibovitz 1963). By using high concentrations of amino acids, especially arginine, to support the phosphate buffer and by substituting galactose for glucose, Leibovitz could use this medium in free gas exchange with the atmosphere. Two factors - high amino acid concentrations (about 3-fold compared to Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium [DMEM]) and the lack of a need for a CO₂ incubator - were important reasons why some investigators chose L-15 medium for primary culture of adult rat hepatocytes. In fact, the medium has been used for many years to study the maintenance or induction, or both, of several differentiated hepatocyte functions (Michalopoulos et al. 1976; Sawada et al. 1987; Staecker et al. 1988). Although primary hepatocytes can be satisfactorily maintained in L-15 medium in a 100% air incubator, they do not undergo mitosis and divide under these conditions to an appreciable extent (Sawada et al., 1987). Recently, we found that hepatocytes could proliferate in a modified L-15 medium when supplemented with sodium bicarbonate and incubated in a 5% CO₂/95% air incubator (Mitaka et al. 1991b). The number of cells doubled within 5 days of culture, whereas the number of hepatocytes cultured in DMEM supplemented with EGF increased 1.3-fold. In addition, as the concentration of essential amino acids in the medium after addition of concentrated essential amino acid solution was close to that of essential amino acids in L-15 medium, DNA synthesis of the hepatocytes increased (Mitaka et al. 1991b). Although a mixture of nonessential amino acids did not have this effect, it is known that proline is necessary to induce DNA synthesis of hepatocytes and 30 µg/ml in the medium is enough for the induction of the maximum DNA synthesis of cultured hepatocytes (Hasegawa et al. 1982; Houck & Michalopoulos, 1985; Nakamura et al. 1984a). Now, we and other researchers routinely add proline to the culture medium. Recently, Hasegawa et al. (1994) reported that a high concentration of glutamic acid enhanced EGF-induced DNA synthesis of primary rat hepatocytes, whereas high concentrations of alanine and glutamine inhibited their DNA synthesis (McGowan & Bucher 1983).

To prevent contamination of cultures by nonparenchymal cells, some investigators have removed arginine from the hepatocyte medium (Sato et al. 1960; Koch & Leffert. 1974; 1980). The basis for the selectivity of arginine-free medium is the liver’s unique capacity to synthesize arginine from ornithine via the urea cycle. Hepatocytes, and, to a lesser extent, the epithelial cells of the intestinal mucosa contain the mitochondrial enzyme, ornithine carbamoyl transferase (OCT), which converts ornithine to citrulline (Rajman 1974). Therefore, replacing arginine with ornithine in the basal medium inhibits the survival of cells that do not contain the enzyme OCT, since these cells will be starved of arginine. However, in practice, although we used the modified DMEM (ornithine supplemented arginine-free medium) in our early experiments (Mitaka et al. 1992a; 1992b; 1993a; 1993b), the percentage of nonparenchymal cell contamination in cultures did not decrease. As Block et al. (1996) pointed out, the absence of arginine might be rate limiting for the growth of the proliferating hepatocytes because, as the proliferating hepatocytes lose specific hepatocyte-related functions, it is likely that the pathways generating arginine through the urea cycle would have diminished activity or disappear altogether. Recently, we use a commercial medium supplemented with arginine and glucose.

2) Vitamins

Nicotinamide is a kind of vitamin. Its importance for both proliferation and differentiation of primary hepatocytes was described above. Vitamin C is known to stimulate the collagen synthesis of hepatocytes (Hata et al. 1985), and the DNA and collagen synthesis of fibroblasts (Hata & Senoo 1989). The importance of collagen synthesis for the induction of DNA synthesis in primary hepatocytes was reported by Nakamura et al. (1984a). They
showed that specific inhibitors of collagen synthesis such as cis-4-hydroxy-L-proline strongly inhibited DNA synthesis of hepatocytes. Recently, it was reported that addition of L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate (Asc2P), a long-acting vitamin C derivative, to the medium promoted the growth of small hepatocytes (Mitaka et al. 1995; Tateno and Yoshizato 1996a; 1996b). On the other hand, Senoo et al. (1989) showed that the addition of Asc2P improved the life span of cultured hepatocytes and made it possible to maintain their functional activity for a long time. Thus, although no direct effect on the hepatocytes is clarified, vitamin C is important for the proliferation and differentiation of primary hepatocytes. In other words, production of an ECM such as collagen may regulate the growth and/or differentiation of hepatocytes.

Retinoic acid is reported to induce differentiation and inhibit proliferation of malignant cells such as malignant melanoma and leukemia cells (Britman et al. 1980; Lotan & Lotan. 1981). Ikeda & Fujiwara (1993) showed that it could inhibit mitogen-induced DNA synthesis in primary culture of rat hepatocytes. Although Ohmura et al. (1996) reported that all trans retinoic acid and 9-cis retinoic acid could not induce DNA synthesis in primary culture, their intragastric administration stimulated that of hepatocytes in vivo.

3) Trace metals

Selenium has been mentioned as a factor that in some manner supports the survival of replicating cell lines maintained in culture in serum-free medium (Ham & McKeehan 1978). Although 1x10^{-5} M Na2SeO3 in serum-free medium inhibited mammary cell cultures, 5x10^{-8} M Na2SeO3 stimulated the growth of the cells (Medina & Oborn 1981). In primary culture of rat hepatocytes it was reported that the content of intracellular selenium was lost with time in culture (Newman & Guzelian 1982). However, the supplementation of culture medium with selenium could prevent the loss and maintain the synthesis of P450 responding to phenobarbital. Although no one has presented direct evidence that selenium can enhance the proliferation of cultured hepatocytes, we and other researchers usually add the metal to chemically defined medium when hepatocytes are cultured in for a long time (Block et al. 1996; Cable & Isom 1997; Mitaka et al. 1991a; 1991b; 1992a).

Recently, Cable & Isom (1997) reported that the trace metals copper, iron, and zinc are all necessary for primary hepatocytes cultured in chemically defined medium to proliferate for a long time. They explained why these metals are required for hepatocyte growth. Iron is a cofactor for ribonucleotide reductase. Because the prolonged metal deprivation of copper, iron, and zinc resulted in decreased the activity of the enzyme in leukemic lymphocytes (Oblender & Carpentieri. 1991a; 1991b). The role of zinc in the proliferation of hepatocytes is less clear but may involve altered gene transcription associated with proliferation. Zinc, in particular, plays a critical role in the family of zinc finger transcription factors (reviews see Berg 1990; Pieler & Bellefroid; 1994). Although copper has no biochemically defined role in DNA synthesis or gene transcription, the ability of pancreatic acinar cells to transdifferentiate into hepatocytes was reported in copper-depleted rats (Rao et al. 1986).

As for the iron source, the importance of transferrin has been pointed out in chemically defined medium by Block et al. (1996). They showed that iron-saturated diferric transferrin promoted the growth of cultured hepatocytes much more than unsaturated transferrin combined with elemental iron. Contrastingly, Cable & Isom (1997) reported that either the combination of apo-transferrin and ferrous sulfate or holo-transferrin (saturated) could serve as an iron source in their culture system. Somehow, both transferrin and iron are necessary for hepatocytes to proliferate in serum-free cultures for a long time.

5) Bicarbonate and others

L-15 medium is designed for an air-only incubator. Under such conditions, primary rat hepatocytes are reported not to enter DNA synthesis even if mitogens are added. However, when L-15 medium is adjusted for use in a CO2-incubator by adding NaHCO3, a dramatic
increase of DNA synthesis is observed in primary hepatocytes (Mitaka et al. 1991b; 1991c). The DNA synthesis increases parallel to the increase of the NaHCO$_3$ concentration in the medium and 20 mM NaHCO$_3$ in L-15 medium is best. Though the importance of CO$_2$/bicarbonate for the stimulation of growth has already been pointed out in various cell lines (Ehman & Misfeldt. 1983; Itagaki & Kimura 1974), the concentration of NaHCO$_3$ has never been reexamined in primary culture of hepatocytes. Without paying attention to the concentration of NaHCO$_3$ in medium, it is made in most laboratories by following the manufacturer’s instructions. However, a suitable concentration for maximum stimulus of DNA synthesis exists in each medium (Mitaka et al. 1991c). For example, for DMEM/F12 it is 25 mM instead of 14 mM, for Williams Medium E it is 30 mM, and for DMEM it is 25 mM instead of 45 mM.

In early studies with serum-free medium, high concentrations of pyruvate (Hasegawa & Koga 1981; McGowan & Bucher 1983) and lactate (McGowan & Bucher 1983) were reported to stimulate the replicative DNA synthesis of rat hepatocytes with or without EGF. Oxaloacetate was also shown to have the potential to induce DNA synthesis of rat hepatocytes (Li et al. 1993). On the other hand, a co-mitogenic effect of putrescine, a kind of polyamine, on rat hepatocytes was also reported by Nagoshi & Fujiwara (1994). Recently, Sasaki et al. (1997) reported that ethanolamine could enhance the DNA synthesis of rat hepatocytes stimulated by EGF.

Growth of Small Hepatocytes

Small hepatocytes have been identified as proliferating cells with hepatic characteristics. We first found a remarkable increase of small mononucleate cells within primary hepatocytes cultured in serum-free medium supplemented with 10 mM nicotinamide and 10 ng/ml EGF (Mitaka et al. 1991a). Thereafter, other researchers have reported the growth of the cells in medium supplemented with nicotinamide (Block et al. 1996, Tateno & Yoshizato. 1996a; 1996b; Wu et al. 1994). On the other hand, we also observed the appearance of small hepatocytes in L-15 medium supplemented with EGF in a CO$_2$-incubator (Mitaka et al. 1991b) although the frequency of the cells was less than that of cells in nicotinamide-supplemented medium (Mitaka T. unpublished data). Recently, Cable and Isom (1997) reported the growth of small hepatocytes in a chemically defined RPMI-based medium supplemented with EGF and 2% DMSO. These results may indicate that nicotinamide is not essential for the proliferation of small hepatocytes. Thus, perhaps whenever isolated hepatocytes are cultured in a medium in which they can really proliferate, small hepatocytes may be given an environment to start their growth.

Small hepatocytes can rapidly proliferate in medium supplemented with nicotinamide and growth factors such as EGF, HGF, or TGF-$\alpha$, and have a tendency to form a colony (Fig. 1A) (Mitaka et al. 1992a; 1993b). Although the size of these cells is about 1/2 to 1/3 that of typical hepatocytes (Mitaka et al. 1992a; 1995; Tateno & Yoshizato. 1996b) and they have a less-differentiated appearance, the cells possess typical characteristics of hepatocytes: they are immunocytochemically positive for albumin (Fig. 1C), transferrin, cytokeratin 8 and 18, and electron-microscopically show abundant mitochondria, peroxisomes with crystalline nucleoids, and bile canalicular structures (Mitaka et al. 1992a and 1992b). Therefore, we named them small hepatocytes. On the other hand, this small size results in the dilemma that small hepatocytes also appear from the nonparenchymal cell-rich fraction (the supernatant after 50 x g centrifugation for 1 min) (Mitaka et al. 1995; Tateno & Yoshizato. 1996b), which is well known to be rich in hepatic epithelial cells (Furukawa et al. 1987; Williams et al. 1977). In primary cultures of rat hepatocytes, hepatic epithelial cells are the major contaminants derived from nonparenchymal cells. The cells have been studied for a long time and are known to clonally proliferate in primary culture (Grisham 1980; Grisham &
Figure 1  (A) Phase-contrast photographs of primary rat hepatocytes cultured in serum-free modified DMEM supplemented with 10 mM nicotinamide and 10 ng/ml EGF at day 10. 1% DMSO was added to the medium from day 4. Arrows show the small hepatocyte colony. (x 118) (B) Phase-contrast photographs of a small hepatocyte colony cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 10 mM nicotinamide, 1 mM Asc2P, and 10 ng/ml EGF at day 9. The cells were isolated from the supernatant after 50 x g centrifugation for 1min. 1% DMSO was added to the medium from day 4. Asterisks show hepatic epithelial cells and arrows show stellate (Ito) cells. (x124) (C) Immunofluorescence for albumin of small hepatocyte colony at day 20. The cells were isolated from the supernatant after 50 x g centrifugation for 1min. 1% DMSO was added to the medium from day 4. (x272) (D) Immunocytochemistry for BrdU at day 35. The cells were isolated from the supernatant after 50 x g centrifugation for 1min. 1% DMSO was added to the medium from day 4 and 40 µM BrdU was added to the medium 24 h before fixation. Darkened nuclei are those stained with BrdU. Arrows show the mature hepatocytes with a large cytoplasm and binucleus. (x82)

Thorgeirsson. 1997; Williams. 1976; Yang et al. 1993). In addition, the cells are considered to be candidates for hepatic stem cells because they can differentiate into hepatocytes and/or bile duct epithelial cells when they are transplanted into appropriate sites in vivo (Coleman et al. 1993; Sirica et al. 1990). The hepatic epithelial cells have been thought to be derived from hepatic parenchymal cells, but now hepatocytes in collagenase-dispersed cell suspensions are considered not to be obligate precursors of hepatic epithelial cells (Grisham et al. 1993).
Because identifiable hepatocytes never proliferated to form epithelial colonies under their culture conditions, Grisham *et al.* (1993) speculated that those cells might arise from small nondescript epithelial cells, which are morphologically distinct from hepatocytes. Thus, the addition of a high concentration of nicotinamide to the conventional medium is the only determinant of whether small hepatocytes can proliferate. As shown in Fig. 1B, the morphology of both cells is quite different and easy to identify. However, after long cultivation, the transitional cells sometimes appear in the peripheral zone of the small hepatocyte colony, in which small hepatocytes can not distinguished from hepatic epithelial cells (Mitaka T. unpublished observation).

The first cell division of small hepatocytes is observed from day 2 to day 3, after which rapid growth and colony formation are found. The average number of cells in a colony reaches about 27 at day 10 (Mitaka *et al.* 1995). Tateno & Yoshizato (1996b) reported colonies that consisted of more than 100 cells at day 10. These results indicate that a small hepatocyte can divide 5 to 7 times within 10 days. As the cells do not show division in the first 2 to 3 days, it is calculated that the division of the fastest-proliferating cells may occur every 24 h after the latent time. Twenty-two to twenty-four h cell cycles of mature hepatocytes were also shown in primary culture (Mitaka *et al.* 1991a; 1991b). On the other hand, Tateno and Yoshizato estimated that the doubling time of small hepatocytes during the first 10 days was 51 ± 3 hours and increased to 753 ± 203 hours in the following 20 days. There are three interpretations of why a delay of the doubling time after 10 days of culture is observed in small hepatocytes: a) most small hepatocytes in a colony show the slowing doubling time after 5 to 7 divisions, b) some small hepatocytes may differentiate into mature hepatocytes, the cell division of which is suppressed, and c) factors like growth-inhibiting cytokines and/or ECM produced by nonparenchymal cells may hide the real prolific capacity of small hepatocytes. In our experiments many colonies are surrounded by nonparenchymal cells 10 days after plating (Fig. 1B). In addition, the hepatocytes that have a large cytoplasm and that are sometimes binucleate may often appear after day 10 (Fig. 1D). Therefore, b) and c) may be feasible to explain the reason why a delay of the doubling time after 10 days of culture is observed in small hepatocytes. At present, we do not have exact data concerning whether small hepatocytes can unlimitedly divide or not. Recently, experiments in which the regenerative capacity of hepatocytes is examined in vivo have been performed by using transgenic mouse models; albumin-urokinase transgenic mice (Rhim *et al.* 1994; Sandgren *et al.* 1991) and fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase deficient mice (Overturf *et al.* 1996; 1997). These studies show that the upper limit of cell doubling is at least 69 or 7.3 x 10^{20}-fold expansion (Overturf *et al.* 1997). On the other hand, Tateno & Yoshizato (1996b) reported that a single small cell divided up to 1400 cells. This number equals about 10 cell doublings. These results suggest that small hepatocytes may have the potential to divide unlimitedly if the cells are settled in the improved culture conditions.

**Small hepatocytes in liver growth.**

It is well known that a major feature of postnatal liver growth is the marked slowing of the proliferation of hepatocytes; in rats, the high pulse labeling rate of 5-25% at birth declines steadily to about 0.1% in the adult, modulated only by a transient spurt of liver growth and hepatocyte proliferation that occurs at about the time of weanling in rats (Grisham 1969; Wright & Alison 1984). As described above, after two-thirds partial hepatectomy of an adult rat, a dramatic growth of the remnant liver is observed to restore the original liver mass. Theoretically, if all hepatocytes can equally participate in the growth, the average hepatocyte divides about 1.7 times (Fabrikant 1969; Stöcker & Heine 1971). However, it is known that the number of hepatocytes which can participate in regeneration is different according to the
age of the rats (Stöcker & Heine. 1971). An in vivo experiment employing continuous administration of $^3$H-thymidine to rats showed that 99% of hepatocytes in a weanling rat, 93% of cells in a young adult rat, and only 77% of cells in a 2.5-year-old rat synthesized DNA during regeneration after two-thirds partial hepatectomy. On the other hand, we reported that small hepatocytes were mixed among mature ones and that the small hepatocytes appeared to form colonies with time in culture (Fig. 1A). The frequency of the colony formation varied and decrease with the age of the rat: about 6% of hepatocytes isolated from 4-week old rats, about 2.5% of those from 8-week old rats, and about 1.5 to 2.0% of those from adult rats were small hepatocytes (Mitaka et al. 1993a). In aged rats (more than 2 years old) the frequency was less than 0.5%. Furthermore, the cells isolated from rats younger than 3 weeks old were very small, and more than 90% of the cells were mononucleate. Taking these results into consideration, we have proposed that hepatocytes may be classified into three types of cells with respect to their ability to divide: (a) cells that have a high potential to proliferate and form colonies and, therefore, continue to supply daughter cells (Type I cells, small hepatocytes); (b) cells for which the number of possible cell divisions is limited to several divisions; these cells normally express fully differentiated functions (Type II cells); and (c) cells that lose the ability to divide and reach the final differentiated state (Type III cells). As Type II and Type III cells are functionally sufficiently differentiated, they are considered mature hepatocytes. On the basis of this classification of hepatocytes, we can interpret postnatal liver growth and the proliferation of parenchymal cells as shown in Fig. 2.

**Figure 2** Model of Liver Lineage.

The liver develops from a diverticulum of the ventral floor of the foregut (Du Bois 1963). The founder cells invade the mesenchyma of the septum transversum containing the vitelline veins. Endodermal cells eventually generate hepatoblasts and nonparenchymal epithelial cells, while the mesenchyma gives rise to sinusoidal lining cells. Differentiation of already committed hepatic endodermal cells into hepatoblasts depends on interactions with the hepatic mesoderm (Houssaint 1980; Fukuda-Taira 1981; Le Bouarin 1975). Parenchymal cells forming hepatic cords from days 10 to 17 in rat liver development are generally referred to as hepatoblasts (Shiojiri et al. 1991). These cells have a broader developmental potential than parenchymal cells at 18 days of development (immature hepatocytes) and share few characteristics in common with mature hepatocytes of adult livers. Hepatoblasts can have
three different fates in liver development: (i) differentiation into hepatocytes; (ii) generation of intrahepatic biliary ducts; and (iii) formation of portions of the extrahepatic ducts. The process of development of primitive intrahepatic bile ducts from hepatoblasts is of particular interest for the stem cell question. In rats, mice and most likely also in humans, AFP*, albumin* hepatoblasts located near large vascular spaces close to the hilus give rise to primitive intrahepatic bile ducts (Shiojiri 1984; Shiojiri et al. 1991; Van Eyken et al. 1988a; 1988b). These structures contain cells that express AFP and albumin as well as cytokeratins (CK) 7, 8, 18, and 19. In the rat, the pattern of CK expression is a particularly good marker for these cells as the surrounding hepatoblasts contain only CK8 and CK18, and expression of CK7 and CK19 is present exclusively in the newly formed ducts (Shiojiri et al. 1991; Van Eyken et al. 1988a). In adult livers of rodents and humans, hepatocytes express only CK-8 and CK-18 while bile ducts express CK7, 8, 18, and 19 (Van Eyken et al. 1987). Furthermore, Germain et al. (1988) were able to isolate day-12 fetal liver cells and plate them in culture on fibronectin-coated dishes. At the start of the cultures the cells expressed AFP, albumin, CK8, and an antigen recognized by MAb BPC5. Depending on culture conditions, the cells could be induced to undergo differentiation into the hepatocyte or bile duct lineages. Hepatocyte differentiation was promoted by addition of DMSO, TGFβ, and IGF-II, while sodium butyrate induced ductular differentiation. The bipotential progenitor capacity of these cells decreased progressively during development until differentiation became restricted to only the hepatocyte lineage. The proportion of parenchymal cells capable of differentiating into both lineages dropped from 70% at day 12 to 20% and 5%, respectively, at day 18 and 5 days after birth (Germain et al. 1988). On the other hand, intrahepatic bile duct production from hepatocytes may continue until 1-2 weeks after birth in the mouse and rat liver. As we and others reported (Mitaka et al. 1992b; 1995; Tateno & Yoshizato 1996b), small hepatocytes express of albumin*, AFP, CK7, CK8*, CK18*, and CK19*. Therefore, small hepatocytes may be the hepatocyte lineage derived from hepatoblasts. As shown in Fig. 2, soon after birth (newborn), liver plates may consist of small hepatocytes, although periportal hepatocytes may have bipotential progenitor capacity because the periportal cells are positively stained by antibodies against calf keratin and human CK, which react exclusively with bile duct cells in adult liver (Shiojiri et al. 1991; 1994; Van Eyken et al. 1988a). During weanling, some small hepatocytes in liver plates differentiate into Type II cells. At present we do not know what the possible mechanisms induce the differentiation of small hepatocytes. However, small hepatocytes can continue to proliferate without differentiation (maturation) when the growth of nonparenchymal cells is inhibited in co-culture (unpublished observation). The direct effects of and/or the products such as cytokines and/or ECM of the nonparenchymal cells may regulate the differentiation of small hepatocytes into mature ones. With growth, many small hepatocytes become Type II cells, thus decreasing the number of small hepatocytes. In this period both small hepatocytes and Type II cells divide, and this activity contributes to the rapid increase in the total number of parenchymal cells. Consequently, small hepatocytes randomly distribute in the liver acinus. Recently, the growth pattern of hepatocytes was three-dimensionally analyzed using the mosaic liver of the spf mouse (Shiojiri et al. 1997). This research showed that, although hepatocytes proliferate and migrate extensively during development, they might allocate their daughter cells contiguously and that the orientation of their allocation might be random, leading to the formation of three-dimensional, large contiguous quasiclones of hepatocytes. Iannaccone and associates (Khokha et al. 1994; Ng & Iannaccone 1992) also reported that hepatocytes located anywhere in the parenchyma could proliferate and that the progeny were located contiguous to the parent but randomly oriented directionally. On the other hand, to study the proliferative potential of differentiated hepatocytes, Kennedy et al. (1995) used a transgenic mouse line in which the human α1-antitrypsin promoter directs expression of β-galactosidase (β-gal) in the liver cell. In neonatal
mice, single or doublet of β-gal-positive hepatocytes are randomly scattered throughout the liver. With aging, the positive cells form larger clusters. In addition, the β-gal-expressing cells show no predilection for the periporal or pericentral region. Furthermore, Bralet et al. (1994) showed the fate of normal hepatocytes of adult rats by a genetic labeling method. They perfused retroviral vectors carrying the β-gal gene directly into the liver after 2/3 partial hepatectomy. The labeled cells formed clusters with age but the their distribution in liver lobules did not change throughout life, although a number of labeled cells had a tendency to locate near periporal regions (zones 1 and 2 of Rappaport. [1976]). They also estimated the life span of hepatocytes to be between 150 and 450 days. These values are similar to a previous estimation (MacDonald 1961). These results support my hypothesis of random distributions of small hepatocytes and stand against the “hepatocyte streaming theory” (Arber et al. 1988; Sell 1994; Sigal et al. 1994; Zajicek et al. 1985), which speculates that periporal stem cells give rise to daughter cells that stream toward the pericentral region and undergo apoptosis after approximately one year.

With increasing age, in rats, some Type II cells complete their role of proliferation and become Type III cells. As described above, one-fourth of hepatocytes in the liver in rats more than 2.5 year-old rats lose responsiveness to growth stimulation after 2/3 partial hepatectomy (Stöcker & Heine 1971). Furthermore, many hepatocytes isolated from aged rats do not enter the S-phase in spite of EGF-stimulation (Sawada et al. 1989). After completing their role as differentiated hepatocytes, Type III cells will die (apoptosis). Thus, in the normal adult rat liver, to compensate for cells eliminated by death or for other reasons, Type II cells as well as small hepatocytes may produce new cells contiguous to the eliminated ones. In addition, during liver regeneration after two-thirds partial hepatectomy, division of Type II cells may be sufficient to restore the original mass of the liver, although small hepatocytes possibly participate in the event.

Although we have carried out experiments to identify the origin and the location of small hepatocytes in liver lobules, we have not yet succeeded. The major reason why we can not distinguish small hepatocytes from mature ones seems to be the similarity of the cells. This also indicates that small hepatocytes may be mixed in hepatic plates. If this speculation is true, it would explain why their clonal expansion is observed in cultures of cells that are isolated from both parenchymal (pellet) and nonparenchymal fractions (supernatant) after 50 x g centrifugation for 1 min. Although Block et al. (1996) speculated from their results that mature hepatocytes can function as or be a source of bipotential facultative hepatic stem cells (hepatoblasts), there is a possibility that a population of small hepatocytes may be mixed with the isolated cells and that small hepatocytes may clonally grow in their culture conditions.

Are small hepatocytes hepatic stem cells?

The existence of hepatic stem cells or progenitor cells is still being argued and the definition of these cells is now unclear. At present, as described by Fausto (1990), if the stem cells are defined as undifferentiated, multipotent cells, and the progenitor cells as being non-hepatocyte epithelial cells capable of dual-lineage generation (biliary and hepatocytic) and both of these lineages express hepatocyte markers, no pure population of either type of cells has been isolated from normal, injured or preneoplastic adult livers. Although oval cells, which appear during hepatocarcinogenesis and chemically induced severe liver injury (Grisham. 1980; Sell 1990; Sigal et al. 1994; Sirica et al. 1990; Thorgerisson & Evart 1992), are one possible candidate for progenitor cells, identification of the progenitor cells in the normal adult liver has not been achieved and they do not expand initially in regeneration or after most injuries (Farber 1984). These cells are oval in shape with nuclei that are oblong and are invaginated with heterochromatin condensed along the nuclear membrane (Grisham & Hartroft. 1960). Furthermore, no in vitro systems have yet been developed where full
morphological and functional differentiation of the progenitor cells into hepatocytes occurs. Different from oval cells, small hepatocytes are round and have round nuclei with chromatin composition identical to that observed in the larger cells. In addition, small hepatocytes appear morphologically and functionally to be true hepatocytes as described above. Thus, small hepatocytes seem to fit into neither the stem cell nor the progenitor cell classification. We also observed that small hepatocytes sometimes expressed the characteristics of bile duct cells such as CK7 and 19, and Cx43, which were also shown in the small hepatocytes by other researchers (Block et al. 1996; Cable & Isom 1997; Tateno & Yoshizato 1996b). However, the expression is restricted to some of the cells in a colony, and we have observed neither the typical mature bile-duct cells nor the formation of bile ducts derived from small hepatocytes.

Mature and small hepatocytes in vivo can undergo transdifferentiation to form ductular structures under specific pathological conditions (Betto et al. 1996; De Voice & Desmet. 1992; Popper 1990) and they can be induced to form bile ductular structures in vitro when exposed to HGF (Block et al. 1996; Nishikawa et al. 1996). Hepatocyte-derived cell lines can also be induced to form ductular structures in culture (Talbot et al. 1996; Tee et al. 1996). The converse also seems to be true. Bile duct cells in the liver can be induced to form hepatocytes following bile duct ligation and treatment with chemicals, such as furan or carbon tetrachloride (Elmore & Sirica 1991; Sirica et al. 1994). These studies suggest that hepatocytes and bile duct cells retain the capacity to transdifferentiate into each other when the liver is confronted with severe pathological insults. As the situation of small hepatocytes in vitro may be different from that of the cells in vivo, it is, therefore, feasible that they could express some characteristics of bile duct cells. Thus, it is practicable to think that small hepatocytes may be "committed progenitor cells" that can further differentiate into mature hepatocytes.

Maturation (Differentiation) of cultured hepatocytes

An important goal for researchers using primary hepatocytes has been to culture differentiated cells that can proliferate. The proliferating hepatocytes must preserve various functions such as secretion of proteins, metabolism of nutrients, bile production, and the ability to detoxify exo- and endogenous substances much as cells in vivo do. At present, we can handle the proliferating hepatocytes, small hepatocytes as well as typical hepatocytes, but these hepatocytes possess various degrees of the differentiated functions of hepatocytes. Until now, many researchers, including us, have been using not only albumin, tyrosine aminotransferase (TAT), cytochrome P450, TO, serine dehydratase (SDH), Cxs 32 and 26, etc. as biochemical markers but also bile-canaliculus formation, gap junctions, peroxisomes with crystalline nucleoids, many mitochondria, and so on, as morphological markers of "differentiation."

It has been reported that culture of freshly isolated hepatocytes under conventional conditions, which involves plating cells on a substratum of dried rat tail collagen in the presence of EGF and insulin, is accompanied by an extensive and fairly rapid loss of liver-specific gene transcription (Bissell et al. 1987; Clayton & Darnell 1983). Therefore, for the purpose of maintaining the differentiated functions, investigators have used various substances and changed culture conditions through the use of phenobarbital (Miyazaki et al. 1985), extracellular matrix including Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm (EHS) gel (Matrigel) (Ben-Ze’ev et al. 1988; Bissell et al. 1987; Dunn et al. 1992; Musat et al. 1993; Rojkind et al. 1980; Schuetz et al. 1988; Spray et al. 1987), coculture with nonparenchymal cells (Guguen-Guillozo 1986), spheroid formation (Koide et al. 1989), DMSO (Baribault & Marceau 1986; Isom et al. 1985; Kost & Michalopoulos 1991; McGowan 1988; Mitaka et al. 1993c) as well as nicotinamide (Inoue et al. 1989; Mitaka et al. 1991a). Recently, we established a culture system in which, by adding 2% DMSO to the culture medium after hepatocyte proliferate, the
cells are able to recover differentiated functions such as albumin and transferrin secretion (Mitaka et al. 1993c; Mizuguchi et al. 1996). In our culture system, although expression of Cx 32, Cx 26, TO, and SDH, thought to a highly differentiated function of mature hepatocytes, has never been maintained or re-induced in primary hepatocytes cultured for a long period of time by using the conventional culture methods, their mRNA expression was gradually restored with time after the addition of 2% DMSO and maintained for a month (Kojima et al. 1995a; 1995b; Mizuguchi et al. 1996). These liver-specific genes encoding proteins are generally known to be regulated by liver-enriched transcription factors such as CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), and hepatocyte nuclear factors (HNF) 1, 3 and 4 (reviews see Cereghini 1996; Chojkier 1995; Pietrangelo & Shafritz 1994; Zaret 1994). Therefore, the transcription factors play a crucial role in both induction and maintenance of the differentiated state of hepatocytes as well as liver development. In addition, it is generally accepted that hepatocyte differentiation is controlled primarily at the level of gene transcription (Zaret 1994). We recently reported that hepatic differentiation requires not only inhibition of DNA synthesis but also induction of appropriate transcription factors. Expression of HNF3γ, C/EBPα, and C/EBPβ may be necessary for hepatocytes to acquire highly differentiated functions (Mizuguchi et al. 1998).

In our culture system (L-15 + EGF + 2% DMSO), unlike under conventional conditions, primary hepatocytes are plated on dishes at subconfluent density and can proliferate to reach about twice the initial density by day 6 (Mitaka et al. 1993c). In addition, a thick coating of rat tail collagen is necessary for the hepatocytes to differentiate and to be maintained on the dishes after 2% DMSO treatment. Both high density and support by ECM result in the alteration of cell shape. In fact, the hepatocytes become cuboidal and the height of the basolateral surface of the cells increases with time in culture after addition of 2% DMSO (Kojima et al. 1997). The enlargement of attached areas between cells may result in the development of cell-cell adhesion structures such as desmosomes, gap junctions and bile canalculus-like structures (Mitaka et al. 1993c; Kojima et al. 1995a; 1995b; 1997). That the cell shape is a key factor to regulate the growth, differentiation, and survive of cells has been emphasized (Ingber 1994; Mayer 1988; Ruoslahti 1997; Watt 1986). Ben-Ze’ev et al. (1988) suggested that cell shape, which they found to be controlled by cell-cell contact and cell-matrix interactions, might be a primary regulator of tissue-specific gene expression and that cytoskeletal components might interact directly with the nuclear matrix to affect gene transcriptional rates. Recently, by the use of a method for the fabrication of a rubber stamp and the creation of patterned substrata, Singhvi et al. (1994) showed that limiting the degree of hepatocyte extension provided control over the growth of the cell and albumin secretion. Furthermore, DiPersio et al. (1991) have suggested that matrix-stimulated activation of specific albumin-regulating factors will only occur when the matrix on which the cell is grown promotes a cuboidal differentiated cell morphology.

**Perspectives**

In this review I described the proliferation and differentiation (maturation) of primary rodent hepatocytes. Recent advances in culture conditions and the discovery of proliferating hepatocytes are great steps forward toward the dream of freely regulating the proliferation and differentiation of true hepatocytes. In the near future, the cells will be used for artificial liver, in gene therapy, as biosensors, etc, and finally, for reconstruction of a real liver.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1.** (A) Phase-contrast photographs of primary rat hepatocytes cultured in serum-free modified DMEM supplemented with 10 mM nicotinamide and 10 ng/ml EGF at day 10. 1% DMSO was added to the medium from day 4. Arrows show a small hepatocyte colony. (x118) (B) Phase-contrast photographs of a small hepatocyte colony cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 10 mM nicotinamide, 1 mM Asc2P, and 10 ng/ml EGF at day 9. The cells were isolated from the supernatant after 50 x g centrifugation for 1 min. 1% DMSO was added to the medium from day 4. Asterisks show hepatic epithelial cells and arrows show stellate (Ito) cells. (x124) (C) Immunofluorescence for albumin of a small hepatocyte colony at day 20. The cells were isolated from the supernatant after 50 x g centrifugation for 1 min. 1% DMSO was added to the medium from day 4. (x272) (D) Immunocytochemistry for BrdU at day 35. The cells were isolated from the supernatant after 50 x g centrifugation for 1 min. 1% DMSO was added to the medium from day 4 and 40 µM BrdU was added to the medium 24 h before fixation. Darkened nuclei are those stained with BrdU. Arrows show the mature hepatocytes with a large cytoplasm and binucleus. (x82)

**Figure 2**  Model of Liver Lineage.